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Abstract

This article presents two contrasts between two types of indefinites in Chinese: those with an internal modifier and those with a left-peripheral modifier. With respect to interpretation, the former are ambiguous in specificity, whereas the latter are exclusively specific; with respect to structural positions, the former can occur neither as preverbal subjects nor as shifted objects, whereas the latter do not have this constraint. We claim that the exclusive specific reading of the latter type is related to the high position of the modifier. The distribution constraint on the former type is also seen in predicative nominals. We suggest that such indefinites are NPs, although they are individual-denoting.

1. Introduction

It is well known that morphological case is related to specificity in Turkish (Enç 1991; Diesing 1992), Finnish (Kiparsky 1998), Hindi (Butt 1993: 53), Hebrew (Siloni 1997: 242, 257), among others. A less-known fact is that nominal-internal word order can also be related to specificity. The goal of this article is to discuss this fact. As in other languages, modifiers of a nominal can be a PP, AP, NP, a relative clause, and so on. We will show that Chinese indefinite nominals with a prenumeral modifier, which are in the order of modifier–de–numeral–classifier–N, as in (1a), are unambiguously presupposed specific, whereas indefinite nominals with a postnomeral modifier, which are in the order of numeral–classifier–modifier–de–N, as in (1b), can be specific, nonspecific, or quantity-denoting (not individual-denoting, see Li 1998). We will call the former type OMN (outer modifier nominal), and the latter IMN (inner modifier nominal).
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(1) a. dai_yanqin de san ge xueshang (OMN)
wear glasses on three cl. student
'three students who wear glasses'
b. san ge dai_yanqin de xueshang (IMN)
three cl. wear glasses de student
'three students who wear glasses'

The article is organized as follows. In Sections 2 through 6, we discuss individual-denoting nominals only, leaving the issue of the quantity-reading of IMNs in Section 7. In Section 2 we argue that OMNs are indefinite nominals. In Section 3 we present a distribution contrast between the two types of indefinite nominals, namely, IMNs cannot, while OMNs can, occur as subjects and shifted objects. In Section 4 we present an interpretation contrast between the two types of indefinite nominals, namely, OMNs are exclusively presupposed specific, whereas IMNs can be either specific or nonspecific. In Section 5 we discuss the possible structure contrast of the two types of indefinite nominals. In Section 6 we account for the distribution constraint on IMNs in terms of their structural differences from OMNs. Section 7 is on the quantity-reading of IMNs. Section 8 is a summary.

2. OMNs are indefinite

The semantic contrast between OMNs and IMNs has been noticed by Wu (1996) and others. However, it has been wrongly claimed that the former are definite. We present two arguments to show that they are indefinite.

Firstly, there are contexts where definite nominals can occur, whereas OMNs cannot. Consider data like the following:

(2) I want to translate this article into French,
a. Ni neng gei wo jinshuo san ge xiaxi _Fayu_ de tongxue you can to I introduce three cl. know French _i_ student ma?
q 'Could you introduce to me three students who know French?'
b. Ni neng gei wo jinshuo xiaxi hui _Fayu_ de na san ge you can to I introduce a bit know French _i_ that three cl. tongxue ma?
student q 'Could you talk to me a bit about those three students who know French?'
c. Ni neng gei wo jinshuo (xiaxi) hui Fayu de san ge tongxue ma?
The verb jieshou 'introduce' in (2a) has the reading of introducing for the first time. The IMN san ge hui Fayu de tongxue 'three students who know French' is selected by the verb. The verb jieshou in (2b), on the other hand, has the reading of talking about. The definite nominal hui Fayu de na san ge tongxue 'those three students who know French' is selected by the verb. Note that in this case, the adverb yixia 'a bit' makes the sentence natural However, as shown in (2c), regardless of whether yixia occurs or not, the object cannot be replaced with the OMN hui Fayu de na san ge tongxue 'three students who know French'. Neither of the two possible readings of the verb can survive.

In this context, the referent of the IMN object in (2a) is not presupposed. The nominal is a non-specific one. The referent of the definite object in (2b) is known to both the speaker and the hearer, and thus the speaker can ask the hearer to say something about the referent. The incompatibility of the OMN with either of the two readings of the verb indicates that the nominal is neither non-specific nor definite. Later in Section 4 I will argue that such nominals are exclusively specific indefinites.

Secondly, definite nominals can be predicates of equational sentences (also called equatives), whereas OMNs cannot. The nominals the first American President in (3a), and the queen in (3b) are equatives.

(3) a. Washington was the first American President.
   b. She is the queen.

In the following examples (4) and (5), the equatives are definite nominals in the a- and b-sentences, and OMNs in the c-sentence. The c-sentences are absolutely not acceptable to me and other native speakers around me. The acceptability contrast tells us that definite nominals can, whereas OMNs cannot, be equatives.

(4) a. Baoyu he Daiyu ju shi na liang ge wo taida de
   Baoyu and Daiyu exactly be that two CL I mention nr
   nianqing-ren.
   young-person
   'Baoyu and Daiyu are the two young persons I mentioned.'
   b. Baoyu he Daiyu ju shi wo tida de na liang ge nianqing-ren.
   'Baoyu he Daiyu ju shi wo tida de liang ge nianqing-renns.'
   (AC)
   (5) a. Lanlan, Taotao, he Jiajia ju shi na san ge tebie
   Lanlan, Taotao and Jiajia exactly be that three CL very
   kongming de haizi.
   smart of child
   'Lanlan, Taotao, and Jiajia are the three very smart children.'
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b. Lanlan, Taotao, he Jiajia jiu shi tebie congming de na san ge haiizi.
c. *Lanlan, Taotao, he Jiajia jiu shi tebie congming de san ge haiizi. (AP)

Equational sentences either relate one definite nominal to another definite nominal, as shown by (3) above, or relate one property-denoting element to another property-denoting nominal, as shown by (6) below:

(6) (It is not the case that) a hungry fish is a happy fish.

The absence of OMNs in equational sentences indicates that such nominals are neither definite individual-denoting nor property-denoting. In the next section, we will show that OMNs are exclusively specific indefinite. Accordingly, such nominals cannot be used as equatives, unlike definite ones.

Based on the two arguments above, we claim that OMNs are indefinite rather than definite. Note that numeral-initial nominals in English can be used as specific or even definite ones in a casual style. One such example given by an anonymous reviewer is the freeway sign “use left two lanes,” instead of “use the left two lanes.” Similarly, both IMNs and OMNs might be used as definite nominals in a casual style. We do not discuss this usage in this article.

In the next two sections, we present a distribution and an interpretation contrast between the two types of indefinites: IMNs and OMNs.

3. A distribution constraint on IMNs

The data in (7) and (8) show that both IMNs and OMNs can occur as postverbal objects, and objects of prepositions, respectively.

(7) a. Akiu renshí san ge xue wuli de xuesheng. Akiu know three ct. study physics de student
   ‘Akiu knows three students who study physics.’
   b. Akiu renshí xue wuli de san ge xuesheng. Akiu know study physics de three ct. student
   ‘Akiu knows three students who study physics.’

(8) a. Akiu cong san ben wo mai de shu li faxian-le tade mingzi. Akiu from three ct. I buy de book in-find-pref his name
   ‘Akiu found his name in three books which I bought.’
   b. Akiu cong wo mai de san ben shu li faxian-le tade mingzi. Akiu from I buy de three ct. book in-find-pref his name
   ‘Akiu found his name in three books which I bought.’
However, firstly, IMNs cannot occur as preverbal subjects, while OMNs can.

(9) a. *Akiu yiwei san ge xue__wali__ de xuesheng dao-le.
   Akiu think three cl. study physics de student arrive-PREF
b. Akiu yiwei xue wali__ de san ge xue sheng dao-le.
   Akiu think study physics de three cl. student arrive-PREF
   *Akiu thought that three students who study physics arrived.'

Secondly, IMNs cannot occur between a subject and a verb, unlike regular shifted objects, whereas OMNs can:

(10) a. *Baoyu si pian guanyu daici de lunwen kan-guo-le
    Baoyu four cl. about pronoun de paper read-PREF
b. Baoyu guanyu daici de si pian lunwen kan-guo-le.
    Baoyu about pronoun de four cl. paper read-PREF
    *Baoyu has read four papers on pronouns.

We will discuss this constraint in Section 6.2.

4. The presupposition of OMNs

In this section, we further argue that OMNs are presupposed indefinite, and thus are specific indefinite. This is shown in the following four aspects.

First, OMNs cannot occur in the existential constructions which exclude specific nominals. Huang (1987) notes that the argument following (dis)appearance verbs such as lai ‘come’ and si ‘die’ must be indefinite, as shown in (11).

(11) a. Lai-le (liang ge ren /*Daiyu/*na ge ren) come PREF two cl. person/Daiyu/that cl. person
   ‘Two persons have come.’

b. Cun-li si-le (san tou zhu/*Daiyu/*na tou zhu) village-in die-PREF three cl. pig/ Daiyu/that cl. pig
   ‘Three pigs died in the village.’

The following contrast shows that while IMNs can, OMNs cannot occur to the right of such verbs. The fact suggests that more accurately, (dis)appearance verbs require the argument to their right to be non-specific, while IMNs satsify this requirement, OMNs do not. This follows our claim that OMNs are specific.

(12) a. Lai le san ge dai_yanzheng xue_sheng.
    come PREF three cl. wear glasses de student
    ‘Three students who wear glasses have come.’
(13) a. Cun-li si le liang tiao qu-nian chuheng de gow. village-in die PRF two CL last-year bear DE dog
'Two dogs which were born last year died in the village.'

b. Cun-li si le qu-nian chuheng de liang tiao gow. village-in die PRF last-year bear DE two CL dog

Second, OMs cannot occur as objects of verbs of creation. This is shown in the b-sentences of the following data.

(14) a. Baoyu mei rian xie san fen guanyu shichang-jingji Baoyu every day must write three CL about market-economy de baogaos. (PP)
'Baoyu must write three reports on market economy every day.'

b. *Baoyu mei rian xie san fen guanyu shichang-jingji de san fen baogaos.

(15) a. Baoyu mei rian wan cheng Wu pian guanyu dongci de Baoyu every year complete five CL about verb de lunwens. (PP)
paper
'Baoyu completes five papers on verbs every year.'

b. *Baoyu mei rian wancheng guanyu dongci de wu pian lunwens.

(16) a. Daiyu jinching zai zhuo-shang hua liang zhi hen ke'ai de Daiyu often at table-on draw two CL very lovely DE xiaomao. (AP)
kitten
'Daiyu often draws two very lovely kittens on the table.'

b. *Daiyu jingching zai zhuo-shang hua hen ke'ai de liang zhi xiaomao.

(17) a. Daiyu jingching zai xingqitian lao liang zhang xiangpengren Daiyu often at Sunday bake two CL savory de laobing. (AP)
pan-cake
'Daiyu often bakes two savory pancakes on Sundays.'

b. *Daiyu jingching zai xingqitian lao xiangpengren de liang zhang laobing.

(18) a. Daiyu ming-nian gei wo zhi san zhou du ta zhi shi gui de Daiyu next-year give I knot three pair she self design de
According to Diesing (1992: 111), indefinite objects of verbs of creation must have a nonspecific reading. The object of the following sentence is not presupposed.

(19) I usually write a book about slugs.

If OMNs are intrinsically specific indefinite, accordingly, their absence in the object position of such verbs is accounted for.

The data above are in contrast to the following examples (20) and (21), where the verb of using, da ‘read’, and the experiential verb, taoyn ‘dislike’, occur, respectively, and the indefinite objects in both orders are fine:

(20) a. Baiyu da-le san ben Daiyu mai de shu.
    Baiyu read-PST three CL Daiyu buy de book
    ‘Baiyu read three books which Daiyu bought.’
 b. Baiyu da-le Daiyu mai de san ben shu.

(21) a. Wo taoyn liang ge chouyan de tongshi.
    I dislike two CL smoke de colleague
    ‘I dislike two colleagues who smoke.’
 b. Wo taoyn chouyan de liang ge tongshi.

According to Diesing (1992: 112), experiential verbs require a specific reading of their indefinite objects, and verbs of using allow their indefinite objects to have either specific or nonspecific reading. The acceptability of indefinite objects in either order shows that on the one hand, if OMNs are specific, they are of course compatible with both types of verbs; on the other hand, the occurrence of IMNs objects with these two types of verbs as well as with the verbs of creation as shown in (7)–(18) indicates that such indefinites allow both specific and nonspecific reading. This conclusion argues against Tsui’s (2001: 135) claim that plural IMNs in Chinese are always nonspecific. The conclusion also suggests that the bar of IMNs in the preverbal subject and preverbal shifted object positions, as shown in Section 3, cannot be accounted for semantically. Specifically, if, for instance, preverbal subjects in Chinese must be specific, as assumed generally, one would expect IMNs to occur in the subject position with their specific reading.
contrary to the fact. The structural constraint thus must be accounted for syntactically. We will discuss the constraint in Section 6.2 and Section 7. Third, no element can be extracted from OMNs, regardless of the landing site. In (22), it is possible to extract lihishu 'history book' from the IBN liangben guanyu Xiang de lihishu 'two history books on Tibet' to either the postsubject position or the presubject position. Similarly, in (23), it is possible to extract xiaoshuo 'novel' from the classifier-initial nominal ben guanyu Xiang de xiaoshuo 'a novel on Tibet' to either the postsubject position or the presubject position. According to Cheng and Sybesma (1999) and Lin (1999), classifier-initial nominals (CIMN) are intrinsically nonspecific. In (24), however, the parallel extractions from the OMN guanyu Xiang de liangben lihishu 'two history books on Tibet' are impossible.

(22) a. Akiu du-guo-le liang ben guanyu Xiang de
Akiu read-express two CL about Tibet de lihishu. (INN)
history-book
'Akiu has read two history books on Tibet.'

b. Akiu (xingkui) lihishu du-guo-le liang ben guanyu
Akiu fortunately history-book read-express two CL about
Xiang de.Tibet de
'Akiu has (fortunately) read two history books on Tibet.'

c. Liheishu, Akiu (xingkui) du-guo-le liang ben guanyu
history-book Akiu fortunately read-express two CL about
Xiang de.Tibet de
'Akiu has (fortunately) read two history books on Tibet.'

(23) a. Akiu yao mai ben guanyu Xiang de xiaoshuo. (CIMN)
Akiu want buy CL about Tibet de novel
'Akiu wants to buy a novel on Tibet.'

b. Akiu xiaoshuo rao mai ben guanyu Xiang de.
Akiu xiaoshuo Akiu want buy CL about Tibet de
Akiu yao mai ben guanyu Xiang de.
xiaoshuo Akiu yao mai ben guanyu Xiang de.

(24) a. Akiu du-guo-le guanyu Xiang de liang ben
Akiu read-express about Tibet de two CL
'Guanyu Xiang de liang ben'

b. 'Akiu (xingkui) lihishu du-guo-le
Guanyu Xiang de liang ben.'
A constraint stated in Eq(1991) and Diesing (1992:115) is that elements can be extracted from nonspecific indefinites, but not from specific indefinites, as shown below. In (25a), the object of the verb of creation write has an exclusive non-specific reading, and the extraction from the object is fine. In (25b), the object of the experciencer verb like has an exclusive generic reading, which is not nonspecific, and the extraction from the object is not allowed.

(25) a. What do you usually write a book about?
   b. What do you usually like a picture of?

Mahajan (1992) accounts for the extraction constraint in the following way. He claims that specific objects in English must move to a Spec position of a functional category, then "specific objects will occupy what constitutes a 'subject' (= Spec) position in the phrase marker. Any extractions from such NPs will therefore constitute a Condition on Extraction Domain (CED) violation (more specifically, a Subject Condition violation; see Huang 1992; Chomsky 1986)" Mahajan (1992:513). Diesing (1992:133) also uses CED to account for the constraint. She assumes that specific nominals adjoin to IP at LF, then a further extraction from the nominals violates the CED. (See Mahajan [1992: nt. @] for his comments on Diesing's analysis.)

Fourth, OMNs cannot occur as an antecedent of the coda in the Existent Codal Construction (ECC). ECC refers to the construction in (26), where the NP must be indefinite and the XP the underlined part in the dace, called coda, is a clause modifying the NP.

(26) a. YP - V - NP - XP
   b. Jie-shang lai-er yi ge xiaohei mei chaun xie.
   street-on come-PREP one chld not wear shoe
   'On the street comes a child who does not wear shoes.'
   Baoyu teach-REF one/that chld very naughty
   'Baoyu taught a student who was very naughty.'

The construction has been discussed in Li and Thompson (1981: 611-619) ("real descriptive clause construction"), Huang (1987), McCawley (1988: 451, 1989), Tsai (1999 [1994]), and Wilder (2000), among others. As noted by Huang (1987) and Tsai (1999 [1994]), the NP modified by the clausal XP in ECC not only must be indefinite, but also must not be presupposed. The fact that OMNs cannot be such nominals shows that they are presupposed, and thus specific indefinite.
(27) a. Lai le [san ge dai yanjing de xuesheng] hen haotiao.
    come Art three cl. wear glasses DN student very funny
    'Three students who wear glasses have come. They are very funny.'

    come Art wear glasses DN three cl. student very funny

(28) a. Akku jiao-guo [san ge lai zi Beijing de xuesheng] tebie
    Akku teach-ext three cl. come from Beijing DN student very
xiaohao Jing-ju.
    like Beijing-opera
    'Akku taught three students from Beijing who like Beijing
operas very much.'

    b. *Akku jiao-guo [lai zi Beijing de san ge xuesheng]
    Akku teach-ext come from Beijing DN three cl. student
tebie xiaohao Jingju.
    very like Beijing-opera

5. Specificity with respect to nominal-internal structure

5.1. The "right" position of the modifier in OMs

It is well known that English nominals beginning with an indefinite deter-
minder or numeral can be either specific or nonspecific (Milhawk 1974; Die-
sing 1992; among others). In the current literature, there are two major
approaches to the specificity of nominals: a nominal-external approach
and a nominal-internal approach.

In the nominal-external approach, specificity contrast is represented by
the position of the relevant nominals. Diesing (1997) proposes that spe-
cific subjects are base-generated out of VP, whereas nonspecific subjects
are base-generated inside VP. The contrast has been restated as the choice
between deletion of the upper copy and deletion of the lower copy of
the subject chain at LF in Tsai (1999 [1946]). Diesing’s conclusion drawn
from preverbal subjects is recently supported by Longobardi’s (2000)
study of postverbal subjects in Italian. As for objects, Diesing (1992)
proposes that specific objects undergo a(n) (c) overt raising, whereas
nonspecific ones do not. In other words, the contrast is shown in different positions of nominals at LF. Karimi (1999) and Cheng et al. (1997), among others, on the other hand, assume that specific objects are base-generated at SpecVP, whereas nonspecific objects are sister of V. In Mahajan (1992), specific objects must move from the complement of V to a Spec position of a functional category, whereas nonspecific ones remain as the sister of V. In Szabolcsi (1997), specific nominals are related to RC in or other functional phrases which are projected above the predication-denoting projection, whereas nonspecific nominals are interpreted in their base-positions. Summarizing, in these analyses, the specificity contrast is represented in either LF or the relevant base-positions of the nominals. Importantly, specific ones are all assumed to take a higher position than nonspecific ones.

In the nominal-internal approach, however, specificity contrast is represented nominal-externally. Jeong (1987) proposes that the features of Det vary, corresponding to both the definiteness and Msark's strong-weak contrast of indefinites. Moreover, Milisic (1974) assumes that weak determiners, which give nonspecific readings, are structurally akin to adjectives, in contrast to strong determiners, which give specific readings. Furthermore, developing Milisic's theory, Zamparelli (2000) argues for a split DP hypothesis: the higher DP hosts the determiners of the nominal and which have a specific reading, whereas the lower DP hosts the determiners of the nominals which have a nonspecific reading. Kim (2000) (see also Hornstein 2000: 107), on the other hand, claims that a determiner is an argument of the head noun, and it is base-generated inside NP, but it raises to DP when the nominal is specific (has a strong indefinite reading). In both Zamparelli's and Kim's approaches, exclusive specific reading of a nominal is related to a higher position of the determiner.

It is important to point out Zamparelli's (2000) following claim: "In some cases, an abstract functional head can be licensed if a modifier of the appropriate type is generated or moved into its specifier" (the abstract of the dissertation). Souliaji, Crisma (1991) and Cinque (1992) have also proposed that modifiers of nominals such as adjectives are hosted in the specifiers of multiple functional projections.

The correlation between the left-peripheral position of the modifiers of OMs and the exclusive specific reading of such nominals presents a direct link to Zamparelli/Kim's nominal-internal structure approach. Following the general idea of the Zamparelli-type of approach, we claim that the modifier in Chinese OMs is in a higher structural position than that in EMNs, regardless of whether the modifier in the former case is raised to the surface position or base-generated there. Importantly, this
high position of the modifier is responsible for the exclusive specific reading of OMNs. In contrast, the modifier of IMNs is in a low position, and the specificity of IMNs is under-specified and can be determined by the context such as the semantic type of the selecting verb.

5.2. The intrinsic "low" and "high" modifiers

Our distinction between the high position of the modifier in OMNs and the low position of the modifier in IMNs is supported by the fact that there are modifiers which cannot occur in OMNs, and there are also modifiers which cannot occur in IMNs. For instance, material-denoting modifiers belong to the former group.6

(29) a. Akiu mai-le si duo zhaliao (de) meigihuwa-
Akiu buy-PRF four CT. plastic DE rose
'Akiu bought four plastic roses.'
b. *Akiu mai-le zhaliao (de) si duo meigihuwa.
(30) a. Akiu mai-le liang zhang boi (de) zhuori.
Akiu buy-PRF two CT. glass DE table
'b. *Akiu bought two glass tables.
(31) a. Akiu mai-le wu shuang bixiu-gang (de) kuazi.
Akiu buy-PRF five pair stainless-steel DE chopstick
'Akiu bought five pairs of stainless-steel chopsticks.'
b. *Akiu mai-le bixiu-gang (de) wu shuang kuazi.

In contrast, modifiers like qiuyi 'the rest', lingwai 'other', and yishang 'above' cannot follow any numerals and thus cannot occur in IMNs, as shown below (I am grateful to one anonymous reviewer for pointing out these three words to me).

(32) a. Akiu yaqing-le (qiuyi/lingwai) liang ge laoshi.
Akiu invite-PRF rest/other two CT. teacher
'Akiu invited the other two teachers.'
b. *Akiu yaqing-le liang ge (qiuyi/lingwai) laoshi.
(33) a. Akiu huida-le yishang san ge wenti.
Akiu answer-PRF above three CT question
'Akiu answered the above three questions.'
b. *Akiu huida-le san ge yishang wenti.

The correlation between the left-peripheral position of the modifiers of OMNs and the exclusive specific reading of such nominals is significant.
It shows that crosslinguistically, not only morphological case markers but also nominal-internal order can represent specificity.

6. The category of IMNs

6.1. Are IMNs individual-denoting NPs as defective DPs?

If individual-denoting arguments must be DPs (Longobardi 1994; Li 1998), both OMNs and IMNs are DPs. Assume that the M in OMNs takes the Spec position of the highest functional projection. IMNs, however, start with a numeral. Since the highest functional projection of individual-denoting IMNs is not NmP, the numeral cannot be at the head of the functional projection. Since there is no pronominal element to the left of the numeral, we claim that the highest functional projection of individual-denoting IMNs has both an empty Spec and a null head, as shown in (34b).

(34) a. DP (of OMN) Mod
    \[ \begin{array}{c}
    \text{D'}
    \\
    \text{D}
    \end{array} \]
    \[ \#N \]

b. DP (of IMN) (to be revised later) Mod
    \[ \begin{array}{c}
    \text{D'}
    \\
    \text{D}
    \end{array} \]
    \[ \# \text{Mod N} \]

If the DP of (34b) has both an empty Spec and a null head, it is a vacuous projection. There are two possible analyses of IMNs: either no DP is projected, and thus theoretically we allow individual-denoting NPs, in addition to DPs, or a defective DP is projected. In the latter case, DP is projected only for the assumed interpretative requirement: an individual-denoting nominal must be a DP, rather than for any syntactic reason before making a choice between the two theoretical possibilities, in next section we look for nominals which share syntactic properties with IMNs. Such a comparison will help us with the syntactic category of IMNs.

6.2. IMNs behave like predicative nominals

In Section 3 we showed that IMNs can neither occur as preverbal subject, nor occur between a subject and a verb. Note that it is not the preverbal position itself that plays a role, since as we saw in (8a), if IMNs...
are objects of prepositions, they can occur preverbally. Moreover, the distribution constraint on such indefinites cannot be accounted for semantically since they can be either specific or nonspecific.

We claim that DMNs are not able to move. Specifically, unlike definite nominals and OMs, they cannot raise out of their theta-position, that is, VP or VP. They cannot move to Spec IP as regular subjects, nor can they be shifted to the left of their selecting verb (see Huang [1993] for the claim that subjects in Chinese are moved out of their theta-position; Liu [2002] for the claim that Chinese has tense, which is generally assumed to be licensed by the projection of IP or TP, and Cheng and Sybesma [2004] for the most recent arguments for the projection of IP in Chinese).

The inability of movement is also seen in predicative nominals which do not contain a definite marker, such as the demonstrative na 'that' in Chinese and she in English. The following data show how such nominals fail to undergo the so-called predicative nominal inversion (for a recent discussion of this inversion, see Moro 1997, 2000) ([35] is cited from Adger and Ramchand 2001).

(35) a. Jenny is the teacher.
   b. The teacher is Jenny.
   c. *(A) teacher is Jenny.

(36) a. Akku jiu shi na ge bendan.
   Akku exactly be that CT fool
   'Akku is the fool.'
   b. Na ge bendan jiu shi Akku.
   one CT fool exactly be Akku

The following Chinese data show how predicative nominals in general fail to undergo topicalization, as in the b-sentences, and scrambling (or shift), as in the c-sentences:

(37) a. Akku shi (yi ge) xue-sheng.
   Akku be one CT student
   'Akku is a student.'
   b. *(Yi ge) Xue-sheng, Akku shi.
   one CT fool Akku shi
   c. *(Akku (yi ge) xue-sheng shi.

(38) a. Women jiao Akku shen-tong, we call Akku genius-child
   'We call Akku a genius-child.'
   b. *(Shen-tong, women jiao Akku.
   women shen-tong jiao Akku.
   c. *(Women shen-tong jiao Akku.
We thus see that the demonstrativeless predicative nominals cannot move. Such predicative nominals have been assumed to be property-denoting, and be NPs rather than DPs. Our IMNs, which are individual-denoting, cannot move either. The two types of nominals are semantically different but share the same syntactic property: they cannot move. In both types, the left-peripheral element of nominals play a role in the movability, as seen in the contrast between (36b) and (36c), and between OMNs and IMNs.

Recall that we presented two choices in Section 6.1 for the category of IMNs: NP or defective DP. The NP-choice is superior to the defective DP-choice in three aspects. First, the shared syntactic property with predicative nominals, which are NPs, is captured. We can simply claim that at least in Chinese, NPs cannot move, regardless of their semantic functions. Second, adding a new category “defective DP” in the computation is ad hoc. Third, as we stated before, if a defective DP-a projected for IMNs, the DP is projected only for the assumed interpretation requirement: an individual-denoting nominal must be a DP, rather than for any syntactic reason. In a different context, Englehardt (1999) correctly points out:

Interpretation alone is not sufficient in establishing the presence or absence of D, as it is contingent upon our assumptions regarding the semantic properties of this functional head and, thus runs into the danger of circularity. Functional heads are invoked by the syntactic apparatus to activate the checking mechanism, regulating structural relations (Chomsky 1995). Whatever its semantic content, the existence of the functional head can hardly be justified if it makes no contribution to the structure. If so the presence of the functional head can and even must be diagnosed primarily via manifestation of the relevant syntactic properties. (Engelhardt 1999: 123)

We thus conclude that IMNs are NPs in Chinese. In languages like English, however, numeral-initial nominals and determiner-initial nominals may have some syntactic properties and thus both types of nominals can be DPs. This conclusion makes us be able to account for why IMNs in Chinese cannot occur as preverbal subjects or shifted objects, although they can be specific. The reason is simply that like other NPs and unlike DPs, they cannot move.

7. The quantity-reading of IMNs

In the discussion above, all indefinite data are intended to have individual-denoting reading rather than quantity-denoting reading. Nominals with a quantity reading are NmPs in the sense of Li (1998). One
important fact is that the distribution contrast between IMNs and OMNs presented in Section 2 is absent in NumPs. For instance, the reading of (39) is clearly quantity-relevant. Our world knowledge tells us that pianos are heavy, that students who study physical training are usually strong, and that to move heavy things we need fewer strong persons than weak ones. (39) states that three such students, rather than more, are sufficient to move the piano. The accomplishment of the movement of the piano is related to the number of the persons who participate the event. The adverb ‘thr’ there implies the meaning of “sufficient”. The IMN san ge xue tiyu de xuezheng ‘three students who study physical training’, as a NumP, occurs as a preverbal subject and the sentence is fine.

(39) San ge xue tiyu de xuezheng jiufang xiangdong three college students learn physical training three can move the class gangqin.
this college piano
‘Three students who study physical training can move this piano.’

If a quantity-reading of a IMN cannot be ensured from the context, the IMN cannot occur in the preverbal subject position, regardless of whether the verb is a modal verb and whether the eventuality is irrealis, as shown in (40a) and (40b), respectively.

(40) a. *Liang ge zai yinhang gongzuo de ren yingxi zhidao zhe two at bank work three person should know this jian shi.
cl. matter
b. *Mingtian liang ge zai yinhang gongzuo de ren lai tomorrow two at bank work three person come zuo baogao.
makes report

In (40a), the realization of the event of knowing something is not related to the number of the persons who occur in the event. Similarly, in (40b), the realization of the event of coming to make a report is not related to the number of the persons who come. The acceptability contrast between (39) and (40) is related to the quantity-reading of the former, and the absence of such a reading in the latter.

Li (1998) claims that NumPs differ from individual-denoting nominals in that no DP is projected and that NumPs is projected somewhere above NP. We claimed in Section 6.2 that NPs cannot move in Chinese. Here in (39), the IMN is a NumP and thus it can move to the preverbal subject position. In contrast, in (40), since the individual-denoting IMN is
an NP, it cannot move to the preverbal subject position and thus the two sentences are unacceptable.

We summarize our claims in (41):

8. Summary

We have presented two contrasts between individual-denoting IMNs and OMNs: with respect to interpretation, the former are ambiguous in specificity, whereas the latter are exclusively specific; with respect to structural positions, the former can occur neither as preverbal subjects nor as shifted objects, whereas the latter do not have this constraint. We have claimed that the exclusive specific reading of OMNs is related to the high position of the modifier. Moreover, the distribution constraint on IMNs is also seen in predicative nominals. We suggested that like the latter, the former may also be NPs, although they are individual-denoting. We also discussed the quantity-denoting IMNs. As NumPs, they do not show the distribution constraint.
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Appendix

A distribution constraint similar to the one on the individual-denoting IMNs is seen in Italian bare nouns which do not have a modifier. According to Longobardi (2000: 692), Italian bare nouns (BNs) in a preverbal subject position must be accompanied by a (relative, adjectival, PP) modifier. The contrast between preverbal subject BNs and postverbal subject BNs is shown in the contrast between (i) and (ii):

\[ \text{(i)} \]
a. *Medici sono stati chiamati spesso ieri, in questo ospedale. doctors were called up often yesterday in this hospital
b. Medici del reparto di pronto intervento sono stati chiamati spesso, doctors of the first aid department were called up often ieri, in questo ospedale. yesterday in this hospital 'Yesterday in this hospital it was the case that doctors of the first aid department were called up.'

(ii) a. Vengono chiamati spesso medici. are called up often doctors
b. Vengono chiamati spesso medici del reparto di pronto intervento. are called up often doctors of the first aid department

Longobardi (2000: 693) claims that this construction appears to be related to the fact that Romance unmodified BNs, unlike modified ones and normal overtly-determined DPs, must be lexically 'governed' (however, this descriptive notion may be ultimately formalized in the theory). Specifically, he claims that the constraint case perhaps be related to the actual presence of an empty category, understood as a syntactic (i.e. nonpropositional) variable, in the D position of BNs. According to him, preverbal subject positions in several languages have been known for many years to be insufficiently 'governed' in this sense. Modification, unnecessary for clearly lexically governed BNs such as postverbal direct objects, seems to somehow remedy the violation. However, he states: "This licensing effect of modification on BNs, strictly speaking, still unexplained, but the need to resort to it will anyway be taken as a diagnostic for the presence of an un goverened null D." (Longobardi 2000: 663, nt. 3)

Recall that in Section 6, we stated that the left-ueneral element of nonnulls is crucial to the distribution contrast in Chinese. The contrast between the a- and the b-sentences in Italian above, however, is not that of the left-ueneral element. Instead, the occurrence of a modifier to the right of the bare nouns makes the difference. The Italian constraint is thus syntactically different from that on Chinese EMNs.

Notes
* I am grateful to three anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments. Remaining in-
adeguities are my own. Correspondence address: Institute of Linguistics, National
Chang Cheng University, Mio-Hsing, Chiao-Yi 421, Taiwan. E-mail: Luguju@cc.nctu.edu.tw.
1. The abbreviations used in the Chinese examples are exp: experience aspect; perf: perfect
aspect; cl: classifier. The syntactic status of the functional word de is controversial. At
PP, de is immediately right-adjoined to a modifier. Since the issue does not affect
the argumentation here, de is simply undressed as a modification marker in this article.
Note that we do not discuss data like the following, which are in the order of
numeral-adjective-classifier-N, and de modifies the classifier rather than the
N. The data are very restricted: only adjectives such as de 'big', niao 'small', and
chong 'long', can occur as a modifier (i), and no de is allowed (ii).
(i) a. san da zhang lao-bing
three big cl. pan-cake
b. *u xiao wan minmai
four small bowl milk
two small bowl
(iii) a. *san da de zhang lao-bing
three big cl. pan-cake
b. *ni ci de wan minmai
four porcelain bowl
(iii) a. *san da de zhang lao-bing
three big cl. pan-cake
b. *ni ci de wan minmai
four small bowl milk

Also note that in Chinese, if a demonstrative or a universal quantifier occurs with a modifier, the modifier can also occur in either the left-peripheral position of the nominal, as in (i), or an internal position, as in (iii).

(iv) a. Jie wai de na san ge xiong-bing lai-le.
study physics in that farm cl. student come-in
*Those three students who study physics have come.*
b. Na san ge xie wai de na san ge xiong-bing lai-le.
that farm cl. study physics in that farm cl. student come-in
*Those three students who study physics have come.*

There is a lot of literature on the two orders (Chao 1968; Huang 1982; Tso 1986; Hend and Kingston 1987; among many others). Since the nominals in other order are presupposed, their difference, if any, is not of that specificity. We do not discuss such data in this article either.

2. In order to focus on the general specificity effect of nominal-internal word order, we avoid using the data where the numeral is 'yi one' in this article. Like en in German and an in French, it has properties of an indefinite determiner and interacts with relatives, although not with other types of modifiers (Zhang 2001), also see Chen 2003.

3. Thanks to an anonymous reviewer for letting me know that Chierchia (1991), Halliday (1996) Küßer (1978), McCawley (1988), and Söder (1978) have all claimed that OMMs are definite, in other languages as well as in Chinese. In this section, I show that this is not true in Chinese. However, I make no claim on the issue in other languages.

4. I am grateful to Annette Hidalgo for discussing this issue with me.

5. Although no element can be moved out of a specific object, it is not the case that extraction from nonparaphernal objects is always possible. See Davies and Dobinson (2003) for further constraints.

6. Note that the 3-places in (29) through (31) may become acceptable if the demonstrative 'na clu' follows de. In such a case, the whole nominal becomes definite and the initial modifier is contrastively focused. Since we analyze only indefinites in this article, we do not discuss such cases.
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